California Public Utilities Commission

Energy Division

Audit Report

Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum Account For Years 2001, 2002, and 2003

> Prepared by Fred E. Tamse May 20, 2005

Table of Contents

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. Executive Summary	
 A. Commission ordered Energy Division to perform an audit of the Utilities' Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum Account. B. Summary of Audit Conclusions. C. Recommendations. 	. 1
II. Background	
Commission Decisions ordered Utilities to establish an Interruptib Load Management Memorandum Account and ordered Energy Division to review reasonableness of incremental costs recorded Memorandum Account	in
III. Overview and Program Descriptions	
A) PG&E has maintained 9 cost categories for 2001-2003: 1) Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies (CRCI 2) Mandatory Customer Notifications/Mailings (MCNM)	7 7 7 8 8 9
B) ILPMA Interest Costs	10
C) Revenues from Penalties	10
IV. Summary of Audit Results	11
V. Audit Methodology A) Audit Scope B) Audit Program & Procedures C) Audit Sampling	15
Appendix A – Acronyms Appendix B – Interest for 2001, 2002, and 2003 Appendix C – Audit Sampling Appendix D – Total Incremental Costs, Non Labor Incremental Costs, and Headroom Account Appendix E – ILPMA Audit Program and Procedures	d

I. Executive Summary

A. The California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Energy Division conducted an audit of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum Account as ordered by Decision D.03-08-028 dated August 21, 2003.

The Commission ordered the Energy Division per D.03-08-028, to perform an audit of Pacific Gas & Electric Company's (PG&E) Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum Account (ILPMA) for calendar years 2001. Energy Division extended the scope of the audit to include years 2002 and 2003 as approved by the Commission's Executive Director to allow PG&E's recovery of incremental costs for years 2001 through 2003. The objective of the audit is to assure reasonableness and accuracy of Interruptible Load Management Program (ILMP) incremental costs and revenues recorded in the ILPMA for the years 2001, 2002, and 2003, above the current rates authorized by the Commission, and reduced by revenues from penalties or other funds received.

B. PG&E properly maintained an Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum Account as ordered by Commission Decision D.01-04-006 on April 3, 2001 and affirmed by D.07-01-029 on July 12, 2001.

PG&E's incremental costs in the memorandum account were determined to be reasonable and properly accounted for, with satisfactory support and documentation. PG&E maintained separate accounting for each ILMP identifying costs and any revenues associated with each program. PG&E recorded ILMP incremental costs from 2001 to 2002, and included the 2003 ILMP incremental costs in their 2003 GRC. However, PG&E continued to record incremental costs relating to Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies, and Customer Program Admin. & General Expenses in the ILPMA in 2003.

Judgmental sampling was applied in testing PG&E's incremental costs for calendar years 2001, 2002, and 2003. The Energy Division auditor sampled 75% of PG&E's submitted incremental costs of \$2,440,064 (excluding interest), and the sampled incremental costs were determined to be properly recorded and supported. The review of PG&E's records showed minor audit adjustments.

The Energy Division auditor sampled selected months for each ILMP for years 2001-2003 using the detailed reports submitted by PG&E. For the months sampled, documents supporting all entries were requested and PG&E provided the documents for review. All documents examined were found reasonable² and accurate.

¹ See Page 14, Section B

² *Id*.

Appendix- C contains the schedules developed during the audit to show which months were reviewed and examined.

PG&E maintained adequate accounting internal control for ILMP incremental costs. PG&E uses SAP accounting system to track ILMP expenditures and activities. Total ILMP incremental costs are determined via SAP generated reports. ILPMA expenditures are identified by Cost Element codes, Cost Centers and Order/Group numbers. Monthly journal entries are prepared to record ILMP expenditures and interest. Several reconciliations are performed by PG&E's Energy Accounting Department Analyst and reviewed by a Supervisor. Periodically, the reconciliation is reviewed by the Corporate Accounting Compliance group to assure accuracy of records. Energy Accounting Department receives updates and guidance on changes to the ILPMA from the Revenue Requirement, and Technical Accounting Departments.³

PG&E maintained proper records of customers who participated in PG&E's ILMP. Contracts signed between PG&E and ILMP participants were sampled, reviewed and determined to be properly kept and in accordance with the Commission's order. PG&E applied the incentive payments to customers from 2001 through 2003 via billing credits. However, PG&E inadvertently recovered these incentive payments as part of its bankruptcy settlement. PG&E included the incentive payments in its Transition Revenue Account (TRA) rather than in the ILPMA account. There were no records available from PG&E to support the incentive payments as these payments were embedded as components of TRA. Additionally, PG&E did not include the incentive payments in their ILPMA recovery request.

PG&E contracted with a notification service company to handle customer notification of system curtailments. PG&E also mailed advance notification to customers for rotating power outage exempt notices. PG&E established "Outbound Calling Program" to account for the costs of notifying customers via an outside vendor. PG&E also created Mandatory Customer Notification/Mailing Program to account for costs of notifying customers through mail.

The audit verified PG&E's computation of interest on ILPMA balances. The audit determined that the interest were in accordance with PG&E's Preliminary Statements BX relating to ILMP. PG&E did not include accrued interest in 2003 related incremental costs that were part of the ILPMA ending balance for years 2001 and 2002. PG&E claimed total interest of \$53,857 for 2001, 2002, and 2003. The total interest computed through the audit was \$78,306. The \$24,449 difference relating to the accrued interest costs for 2001 and 2002 in year 2003, will be included in PG&E's allowable incremental costs recovery request. (See Exhibit I-1 below)

Pages 2 of 16

³ PG&E's response to Energy Division Data Request #1, Question 3, dated September 27, 2004. PG&E Data Request No. ED_009-03, File Name: AnnEarnAssessProcConsol2000-2002_DR_ED_009-03.

Exhibit I-1: There is a \$24,449 difference between total interest costs claimed by PG&E from 2001-2003, compared to what was calculated through the audit.

(In Dollars)

	2001	2002	2003	Total
Per PG&E	19,748	30,109	4,000	53,857
Per Audit	21,925	29,561	26,820	78,306
Difference	2,177	(548)	22,820	24,449

Note 1 – PG&E's interest amounts were from their AEAP filings for 2002, 2003, and 2004.

C. PG&E claimed a total of \$2,332,426 ILMP incremental costs in its 2003 Headroom Account. PG&E included this amount in its bankruptcy settlement proceedings. This amount was used to offset PG&E's total recovery requests for years 2001 to 2003.

PG&E included among other things, the ILPMA balance as of December 31, 2003 of \$2.33 million representing incremental costs incurred in years 2001, 2002, and 2003, in their computation of 2003 Headroom Account (HA).

In the Commission Decision D.03-12-035 dated December 18, 2003 related to PG&E's bankruptcy settlement proceeding, the proposed settlement agreement established that PG&E is authorized to collect at least \$775 million and not more than \$875 million of headroom revenues. Headroom Account was the vehicle used by PG&E in the bankruptcy settlement proceeding to determine how much excess revenues were to be refunded (i.e., accrued headroom over \$875 million) to the ratepayers or how much additional revenues PG&E must collect (i.e., accrued headroom is below \$775 million) from the ratepayers. Headroom refers to "PG&E's total net after-tax income reported under generally accepted accounting principles, less earnings from operations, plus after-tax amounts accrued for bankruptcy-related administration and bankruptcy-related interest costs..."

Since PG&E included \$2.33 million of ILPMA incremental costs relating to years 2001 to 2003 in the Headroom Account under their bankruptcy settlement proceedings, and at the same time, filed AEAP's relating to ILPMA incremental costs for the same years 2001 to 2003, the ILPMA in the Headroom Account should be offset from the AEAP incremental costs recovery requests to avoid duplication of recovery.

⁴ D.03-12-035 dated December 18, 2003, Appendix A (z).

D. The Commission should allow PG&E recovery of its net ILMP incremental costs including interest totaling \$148,202 for years 2001, 2002, and 2003, as recorded in PG&E's ILPMA, and adjusted by audit adjustments and headroom account adjustment.

Based on our review of PG&E's ILPMA for calendar years 2001, 2002, and 2003, PG&E's requests for recovery of incremental costs as filed in PG&E's Annual Earnings Assessment Proceeding (AEAP) for years 2002, 2003, and 2004 were appropriate and reasonable. Incremental program costs from years 2001 to 2003 were sampled and no material misstatements were identified. However, minor audit adjustments amounting to a disallowance of \$37,742 for incremental costs not supported by PG&E were determined during the course of the audit. Refer to Appendix D, page 3. As mentioned above, PG&E did not include accrued interest in 2003 amounting to \$24,449 that was related to incremental costs incurred in 2001 and 2002. A net adjustment of \$13,293 reduced PG&E's total claim to \$2,480,628. Out of this amount, \$2,332,426 of incremental costs already claimed in PG&E's Headroom Account under PG&E's bankruptcy settlement proceeding was netted to arrive at the total amount that PG&E is allowed to recover. (See Exhibit I-2 below)

Exhibit I-2: PG&E should be allowed to recover \$148,202
ILMP incremental costs and interest reduced by Headroom Account Adjustment for years 2001, 2002, and 2003
(In Dollars)

	2001	2002	2003	Total
Per PG&E ¹	1,284,986	773,204	435,006	2,493,921
Audit Adjustments ²	(725)	(2,034)	(34,983)	(37,742)
Interest	2,177	(548)	22,820	24,449
Headroom Account ³	(1,287,163)	(878,312)	(166,185)	(2,332,426)
Recovery Allowed	(766)	(107,690)	256,658	148,202

Note 1: PG&E recovery requests were filed in their AEAPs for 2002, 2003, and 2004. PG&E did not include interest in their ILPMA incremental costs but reflected the interest in its AEAP filings. Refer to Appendix D, pp 1-3. Note 2: Comprised of unsupported costs incurred by PG&E. Refer to Appendix D, p3.

Note 3: PG&E's Headroom Account included interest costs totaling \$79,350. Refer to Appendix D, p.8

PG&E's interest on ILPMA balances at the end of 2001, 2002, and 2003 as filed in its AEAP for 2002, 2003, and 2004, amounted to \$19,748 in 2001, \$30,109 in 2002, and \$4,000 in 2003, or a total of \$53,857. Audit findings showed adjustments of \$24,449, increasing PG&E's claim to \$78,306 of interest. (See Exhibit I-1 above, and Appendix D, page 3).

-

⁵ See Page 14, Section B

II. Background

A. Interruptible Load Management Programs (ILMP) are incentives given to customers to reduce their electric consumption during times when energy demand is high.

Customers who are participating to "interrupt" their energy usage will be compensated through monthly fixed payments, a discount off their electric rate, or on a pay-per-event basis.

On April 3, 2001, the Commission issued Decision 01-04-006 allowing PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E (Utilities) to establish a memorandum account relating to interruptible load management programs. The memorandum account tracks all costs and revenues above funds authorized in current tariff rates (i.e., incremental costs and revenues) to implement any program, activity, study, or report. D.01-04-006 improved the interruptible tariffs and rotating outage programs of PG&E, SCE and SDG&E. This decision provided short-term and mid-term tools to aid California for challenges it faced beginning in 2001 due to the energy crisis.

B. The Commission instructed the utilities to track certain costs and revenues in a memorandum account.

On July 12, 2001, D.01-07-029 affirmed D.01-04-006, instructing the Utilities to track in a memorandum account all costs and revenues above funds authorized in current rates. Utilities may include interest on the balance in each memorandum account.⁶

Utilities were authorized to recover the balances in the memorandum account. However, a reasonableness review of each utility's memorandum account must first transpire. The Decision stated that the "burden to demonstrate reasonableness for cost recovery will be on each utility. The bar to demonstrate reasonableness will be low and full recovery will be authorized of all incremental costs incurred by the utilities for this program, except for expenditures resulting from incompetence, malfeasance, or some other unreasonable behavior".

Finally, on August 21, 2003, the Commission adopted D.03-08-028, ordering Energy Division to conduct an audit of administrative costs associated with interruptible tariffs and rotating outage programs recorded by Utilities in their memorandum account for Interruptible Load Programs.

⁶ D.01-07-029, page 3

⁷ I.A

III. Overview and Program Descriptions

A. PG&E maintained nine (9) Interruptible Load Management programs in the ILPMA for the Years 2001 to 2003.

PG&E's programs are as follows:

- 1) Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies (CRCRS)
- 2) Mandatory Customer Notifications/Mailings (MCNM)
- 3) Outbound Calling Program (OCP)
- 4) Base Interruptible Program (BIP)
- 5) Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment Program (OBMC)
- 6) Scheduled Load Reduction Program (SLRP)
- 7) Voluntary Demand Response Program (VDRP)
- 8) Demand Bidding Program (DBP)
- 9) Excess CEC Load Management Funding

1) Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies (CRCRS)

Reconfiguration refers to any change to a circuit including new circuits, installing switching devices, or other adjustments that result in an increase in load available to rotating outages. The Commission authorized PG&E to perform a study to reconfigure its circuits that will isolate essential and non-essential customers on a cost-effective and efficient manner. Apparently, non-essential customers were exempt from rotating outage because they shared a circuit with essential customers. Including non-essential customers in the rotating outage pool would significantly increase available energy load during emergency energy curtailments. In their studies, the utilities were required to list the amount of additional load added to the rotating outage pool, the time required to complete the reconfiguration, the cost, and to recommend on whether or not to implement any or all reconfigurations.

PG&E's incremental costs for CRCRS for the years 2001 and 2003 were \$277,384. Total labor cost was \$168,169 or 68% of adjusted total CRCRS. Energy Division sampled 86% of the total incremental costs, and determined that PG&E's expenditures were reasonable, properly recorded and documented.¹⁰

.

⁸ D.01-04-006 dated April 3, 2001, Attachment A, page 7.

⁹ *Id.*, pages 42-45, and 98.

¹⁰ See Page 14, Section B

2) Mandatory Customer Notifications / Mailings (MCNM)

The Commission ordered PG&E to provide written notice to customers regarding reclassification between essential and non-essential categories of customers. 11 PG&E spent \$209,131 in 2001 and 2002 for cost of printing services, reprographics and postage. Energy Division sampled 96% of the total incremental costs, and found that PG&E's expenditures were reasonable, properly recorded and documented. 12

3) Outbound Calling Program (OCP)

This program is required for all Utilities to notify required customers of imminent rotating outages, giving priority to customers on life support or critical care. The Commission ordered the Utilities to also contact customers with load of over 300kW; customers who were subject to major economic damage, and customers who have shown a clear and imminent danger to personal health or safety. The Commission authorized the Utilities to use the best available technology to reduce the time required to notify customers during rotating outages.

PG&E contracted with Envoy Contract Services to provide an automated notification service to notify special customers of imminent rotating outages. PG&E's total incremental costs incurred in 2001 and 2002 amounted to \$472,902. Total labor cost was 48% of the total incremental costs. Energy Division sampled 68% of the total incremental costs, and found that PG&E's expenditures were reasonable ¹⁴, properly recorded and documented.

4) Base Interruptible Program (BIP)

This is a reliability program called upon by the ISO that is available to business customers on a large power rate who can commit to curtail at least 15% of their electric load, with minimum usage of not less than 100 kW. Customers are limited to one 4-hour event per day, 10 events per month, and 120 hours per year. There is an incentive to customers of \$7 per kW-month credit on their bill to curtail energy usage. The bill credit is based on the difference between each month's average peak period demand and a customer selected firm service level (FSL). However, a \$6 per kWh penalty is applied for customers who failed to reduce when asked by the utility, and whose energy consumption is in excess of their FSL.

PG&E's incremental costs for BIP for the years 2001-2003 were \$396,507. Total labor cost was 100% of the total BIP incremental costs for years 2001-2003. Energy Division sampled 88% of the total incremental costs, and found that PG&E's

-

¹¹ *Id.* pages 52-53

¹² See Page 14, Section B

¹³ *Id.* Attachment A, pages 8-9

¹⁴ See Page 14, Section B

expenditures were reasonable, properly recorded and documented. PG&E deducted \$969,804 of BIP incentive payments as credits to its customers' bills in 2001-2003. However, as mentioned on page 2 above, PG&E is not claiming these incentive payments since PG&E already included them in its TRA as part of their bankruptcy proceedings.

5) Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment (OBMC)

As stated in Appendix A of D.01-04-006, "OBMC program exempts participants (large commercial and industrial customers) from rotating outages if they can reduce the load on their entire circuit by the required amount for the entire duration of every rotating outage. Participants must be able to reduce their circuit load by up to 15%, in increments of 5%. Failure to reduce their load will result in penalties equal to \$6/kWh for all excess energy. Program participants shall pay the cost of any equipment (e.g., communication and metering) required to participate in the program."

PG&E's total incremental cost for OBMC for the years 2001-2003 was \$226,946. Total OBMC labor cost was 100% of the total OBMC incremental costs for years 2001-2003. Energy Division sampled 60% of the total OBMC incremental costs. PG&E's OBMC incremental costs were determined to be reasonable, and were properly recorded and supported.¹⁶

6) Scheduled Load Reduction Program (SLRP)

This program is offered to PG&E's qualifying bundled service customers with an average monthly demand of 100 kW or greater, and willingness to reduce power usage by at least 15%, but not less than 100 kW. The incentive to customers in curtailing their energy usage is \$0.10/kWh of measured reduction. However, customers' power reductions are effective only during pre-scheduled days and times from June 1 to September 30. Qualified customers are required to remain in the program upon the installation of interval meter for a minimum of one year and comply with at least 5 SLRP events. Failure to follow the requirement is tantamount to removal from the SLRP program, and the customers will be responsible for reimbursing the utility for the cost of the meter installed.

PG&E's total incremental costs for SLRP for the years 2001-2003 were \$48,377. Incentive payments to customers of \$5,073 were credited in their bills in 2002 and 2003. However, the amount was included in PG&E's TRA as part of their bankruptcy proceedings. Total SLRP incremental costs for years 2001-2003 were all pertaining to labor cost. Energy Division sampled 53% of the total SLRP incremental costs.

Pages 8 of 16

_

¹⁵ See Page 14, Section B

¹⁶ Id

PG&E's SLRP incremental costs were determined to be reasonable, and were properly recorded and supported.¹⁷

7) Voluntary Demand Reduction Program (VDRP)

This program was replaced by the Demand Bidding Program in July 2001. However, PG&E incurred incremental costs of \$147,315 in 2001. Energy Division sampled 63% of the total VDRP incremental costs. PG&E's VDRP incremental costs were determined to be reasonable, and were properly recorded and supported.¹⁸

8) Demand Bidding Program (DBP)

DBP replaced VDRP per D.01-07-025 dated July 12, 2001. D.02-07-035 approved on July 17, 2002 authorized changes to DBP.

DBP is a bidding program that offers "Day-Ahead" and "Day-Of" price incentives to customers for reducing energy consumption during periods when ISO determines that load relief may be needed. A "Day-Ahead" event may occur any weekday (excluding holidays) between 12:00 pm and 8:00 pm. A "Day-Of" event may occur between 3:00 pm to 8:00 pm. The bidding program offers customers with demands greater than 200 kWh an opportunity to receive incentive payments by voluntarily reducing power without incurring any financial penalty. ¹⁹

PG&E's total incremental costs for DBP for the years 2001-2002 were \$279,258. There were no DBP incremental costs for 2003. Total DBP labor cost was 100% of the total DBP incremental costs for years 2001-2002. Energy Division sampled 77% of the total DBP incremental costs. PG&E's DBP incremental costs were determined to be reasonable, and were properly recorded and supported.²⁰

9) Excess CEC Load Management Funding

This activity is unique to PG&E whereby PG&E receives funding from CEC to implement a pilot demand responsiveness system for targeted non-residential customers to provide 35 MW of peak electricity demand savings in response to curtailment events. ²¹ In 2001 and 2002, PG&E utilized the CEC funding to offset the costs incurred by PG&E to implement the interruptible load management program. In 2001, excess funding amounted to \$148,000, and in 2002, it was \$1,104,000. Energy

¹⁸ Id

¹⁷ *Id*.

¹⁹ Source: PG&E Preliminary Statement, Schedule E-DBP

²⁰ See Page 14, Section B

²¹ AB 970

Division sampled 100% of the total Excess CEC funding received by PG&E. PG&E's records showed proper support and documentation.

B. The Commission allowed the utilities to include ILPMA interest costs

Decision 01-04-006 stated that each respondent utility may include interest on the balance of ILPMA. PG&E computed interest related to each ILMP as reported in AEAP for 2002, 2003, and 2004. PG&E conformed to the method of computing interest per its ILPMA Preliminary Statement BX. The Statement states that a debit entry shall be made each month that is "equal to the interest on the average of the balance at the beginning of the month and the [ending] balance after [adjustments] at a rate equal to one-twelfth the interest rate on three-month Commercial Paper for the previous month, as reported in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release, H.15 or its successor. PG&E used this methodology in all of its filing with the Commission. Total interest computed is shown on Exhibit IV-1. A complete schedule is included in Appendix B.

C. The utilities are allowed to receive revenues from penalties

PG&E did not receive revenues from penalties in 2001 through 2003. Penalties are imposed on PG&E participants who failed to reduce load to their Firm Service Levels (FSL) when requested under the terms of PG&E and Participant's contract.

IV. Summary of Audit Results

A. PG&E's total ILPMA interest for years 2001, 2002, and 2003 amounted to \$53,857. Audit adjustments increased PG&E's claimed interest by \$24,449. The adjusted interest that PG&E should recover is \$78,306.

PG&E included interest in their ILPMA incremental costs recovery requests filed in its AEAPs. Interest costs for calendar years 2001, 2002, and 2003 were not specified as part of the recovery requests filed in PG&E's 2002, 2003, and 2004 AEAPs, although PG&E presented interest schedules in their AEAPs. PG&E did not compute accrued interest in year 2003 for incremental costs related to years 2001 and 2002, but PG&E computed interest for incremental costs incurred in 2003. Energy Division included the accrued interest excluded by PG&E as part of its 2003 incremental costs. See Exhibit IV-1 below.

Exhibit IV-1: The Commission should allow PG&E to recover interest amounting to \$78,306 for years 2001, 2002, and 2003 (In Dollars)

	2001	2002	2003	Total
PG&E Interest (Note 1) -				
Associated with:				
2001 Program Costs	19,748	23,109	0	42,857
2002 Program Costs		7,000	0	7,000
2003 Program Costs			4,000	4,000
Total per PG&E	19,748	30,109	4,000	53,857
Per Audit –				
Associated with:				
2001 Program Costs	21,925	22,378	14,933	59,236
2002 Program Costs		7,183	8,514	15,697
2003 Program Costs			3,373	3,373
Total per Audit	21,925	29,561	26,820	78,306

Note 1: PG&E did not include interest for 2001, 2002, and 2003.

Complete schedules showing the computation of ILPMA interest for 2001, 2002, and 2003 are shown in Appendix B.

B. PG&E's labor costs were 81% of the total ILMP incremental costs incurred in years 2001, 2002, and 2003. PG&E claimed incentive payments paid to ILMP participants via PG&E's bankruptcy settlement proceeding instead of AEAP filings.

PG&E requested recovery of incremental costs recorded in their ILPMA. Total incremental costs for 2001, 2002, and 2003 as filed were \$2,440,064 plus interest of \$53,857 or a total of \$2,493,921. PG&E's incremental costs included: (1) labor costs, (2) non-labor costs (e.g. software or applications, printing of marketing material, postages, design, administration costs, and notification services, etc.), (3) circuit reconfigurations, and (4) Interest.

Although, PG&E incurred incentive payments to participants who curtailed their energy usage when requested, and PG&E reduced the customers' bills by the incentive credits, PG&E did not include the incentive credits as incremental costs subject to recovery request. Instead, the incremental costs were treated as costs embedded in the TRA during PG&E's bankruptcy proceedings. PG&E's total incentive credits for 2001, 2002, and 2003 were \$952,963. These incentive credits relate mostly to PG&E's Base Interruptible Program (BIP).

PG&E kept their records of incremental costs by interruptible load management program. PG&E maintained nine (9) ILMP activities as discussed in Section III of this report.

Audit results showed that PG&E's incremental labor costs were 81% of the total incremental costs for years 2001-2003. Labor costs include those costs related to the performance of interruptible load management related incidental costs.

Energy Division sampled and examined each ILMP activity. PG&E provided a summary and detail of each program's incremental costs, which was our basis in requesting supporting documents. Our audit sampled an average of 75% of the total ILPMA incremental costs requested by PG&E. Except for minor items, we are satisfied with our examination of PG&E's records and found the sampled months to be in proper order. PG&E have a proper system of internal control in assuring that all transactions are recorded properly and accurately. PG&E maintained proper authorizations in their accounts payable system. PG&E's accounting system required several levels of review in determining that incremental costs were properly recognized and recorded.

B. PG&E claimed \$2,332,426 of incremental costs as recorded in its ILPMA for the years 2001 to 2003. PG&E included this amount in its computation of its Headroom Account for 2003 as required in their bankruptcy settlement proceeding per D. 03-12-035 dated December 18, 2003.

PG&E's Headroom Account was not covered in the audit of ILPMA but was included in PG&E's bankruptcy settlement proceedings. PG&E included ILPMA balance of \$2,332,426 for incremental costs incurred in 2001, 2002, and 2003 in the Headroom Account. A schedule of ILPMA as provided by PG&E's Corporate Accounting showed that the total ILPMA balance was \$2,419,809 as of December 31, 2003. However, PG&E only submitted \$2,332,426 of the ILPMA balance pertaining to incremental costs incurred in 2001, 2002, and August 2003 – December 2003 in their bankruptcy settlement proceedings. PG&E did not include \$87,381 relating to incremental costs incurred in January 2003 to July 2003. This amount is now being included as part of the total incremental costs that PG&E is requesting to recover.

PG&E will eventually file a true-up of its 2003 Headroom account, and PG&E should exclude any ILPMA balance unclaimed (i.e., January 2003 to July 2003 for \$87,381,000) in their true-up computation of 2003 Headroom Account. PG&E should continue to request recovery of ILPMA incremental costs incurred in 2004 and future years through their filings of AEAP reports.

C. Other audit findings determined during the course of the audit showed \$37,742 of invalid incremental costs that were included in PG&E's recovery request. This amount will reduce PG&E's total recovery request.

Incremental costs for Circuit Reconfigurations and Reconfiguration Studies amounted to \$277,384. PG&E spent about 61% on labor costs in 2001 and 2003. PG&E inadvertently included **\$29,865** as incremental costs that were already included in their 2003 GRC. Energy Division is reducing PG&E's total recovery request by this adjustment of \$29,865.

PG&E included incremental costs that were not supported by any documents relating to administrative and general expenses for **\$8,139**, and notification and mailings for **\$725**. These amounts are being disallowed from PG&E's recovery requests.

PG&E included incremental costs relating to CEC Funding for \$987 that were included in their detailed incremental costs for year 2002 but were not reflected in PG&E's recovery request. We determined this cost to be valid and therefore, we are allowing PG&E to recover this amount.

Total audit adjustments to be disallowed amounted to \$37,742 which comprised of: (\$29,865) - Circuit Reconfiguration; (\$8,139) – administrative and general expenses,

²² PG&E Corporate Accounting, ILPMA G/L#1823085 January 2001 through October 2004. Refer to Appendix D.

and (\$725) – notification and mailing, and \$987 relating to CEC Funding. Refer to Appendix D, Page 3.

We are allowing PG&E to recover **\$148,202** representing the net ILPMA incremental costs incurred for years 2001, 2002, and 2003. Refer to Exhibit I-2 and Appendix D – Total Incremental Costs, page 3 of 8.

V. Audit Methodology

A. The Audit Scope was consistent with the requirements of D.03-08-028

Our examination and review of PG&E's ILPMA, accounting system, and records relating to incremental costs cover the period January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2003. The Commission per D.03-08-028 on August 21, 2003 ordered the Energy Division to conduct a reasonableness review of incremental costs recorded in PG&E's ILPMA for 2001. However, Energy Division extended the scope of the audit to year 2003 as approved by the Commission's Executive Director to allow PG&E recovery of incremental costs for 2001 through 2003.

The ILPMA Audit included: (1) reviewing Commission decisions and AEAP reports related to the Interruptible Load Management Program; (2) interviewing PG&E's ILMP personnel; (3) reviewing PG&E's accounting statements and records; (4) gathering information supporting recorded costs entries in ILPMA; (5) analyzing information for reasonableness; (6) applying audit programs and procedures including application of sampling methods, and (7) reporting the audit findings.

B. The audit programs and procedures were designed to assess the reasonableness of program costs and revenues in the ILPMA

The objective of the Energy Division's examination of PG&E's ILPMA is to assure the reasonableness of program costs and revenues recorded in the memorandum account. Program costs are incremental costs incurred by PG&E for years 2001 to 2003.

Audit findings showed that incremental costs were reasonable, properly recorded, and documented. Incremental costs are reasonable when the expenditures made were proper for a particular program, and when the expenditures were necessary and important in order to operate and promote the Interruptible Load Management programs.

Utilities are required to maintain adequate accounting records and support, and proper documentation of incremental costs spent to operate and promote the programs. Utilities are required to have a sound accounting system to separate the incremental costs by programs. It will be very difficult to determine the reasonableness of any incremental costs without a sound accounting system, good recordkeeping, adequate support, and proper documentation. Our audit sampled as many months possible and applied a thorough review of the incremental costs and PG&E records.

A complete audit program and procedures list is in Appendix E. Where applicable, audit procedures were applied in the audit of ILPMA.

C. The Audit relied on judgmental sampling

PG&E submitted incremental costs summaries for each month from January 2001 to December 2003. The Energy Division auditor requested detailed support for selected months by Interruptible Load Management Program. Judgmental sampling was used in choosing which months would be examined in detail. Transactions and supporting documentation in the sampled reports provided by PG&E were thoroughly examined.

The percentage of total amounts sampled compared to total amounts requested for recovery in years 2001 to 2003 was 75.18%. By program or activity, the percentage of sampled months' incremental costs compared to total incremental costs for years 2001 to 2003 is as follows:

•	Circuit Configuration and Reconfiguration Studies	86.45%
•	Mandatory Customer Notification/Mailing	95.86%
•	Outbound Calling Program	68.41%
•	Demand Bidding Program	77.05%
•	Base Interruptible Program	88.03%
•	Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment Program	60.02%
•	Scheduled Load Reduction Program	53.12%
•	Voluntary Demand Response Program	62.94%
•	Customer Program Admin. & General Expenses	64.30%
•	Customer Program Admin. & General Expenses –	
	Non-Firm Rates	52.32%
•	CEC Excess Funding	100.00%

A detailed schedule showing how the percentages were derived is in Appendix C.

Appendix A

Acronyms:

AEAP Annual Earnings Assessment Proceeding

BIP Base Interruptible Program
CEC California Energy Commission

CPUC California Public Utilities Commission

CRCRS Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies

DBP Demand Bidding Program

GRC General Rate Case FSL Firm Service Levels

ILMP Interruptible Load Management Program

ILPMA Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum

Account

ISO California Independent System Operator MCNM Mandatory Customer Notification / Mailings

OCP Outbound Calling Program

OBMC Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment

PBIP Pilot Base Interruptible Program PG&E Pacific Gas & Electric Company

POBMC Pilot Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment

SCE Southern California Edison Company SDG&E San Diego Gas & Electric Company

TRA Transition Revenue Account

VDRP Voluntary Demand Response Program

Appendix B

		Page
A.	Interest Schedule for 2001	1
B.	Interest Schedule for 2002	2
C.	Interest Schedule for 2003	3

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERESTS ON 2001 ILPMA EXPENDITURES

Line No		Jan-01	Feb-01	Mar-01	Apr-01	May-01	Jun-01	Jul-01	Aug-01	Sep-01	Oct-01	Nov-01	Dec-01	Total
1	BOM Balance	0	(727)	(730)	(733)	241,480	616,219	890,512	1,056,905	1,193,740	1,208,329	1,291,524	1,384,837	
2 3 4	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2	(725) 0 (363)	0 (727) (727)	0 (727) (730)	241,735 241,002 120,134	373,155 614,635 428,058	271,830 888,049 752,134	163,419 1,053,931 972,222	133,474 1,190,379 1,123,642	11,171 1,204,911 1,199,326	80,271 1,288,600 1,248,465	90,773 1,382,297 1,336,910	(100,588) 1,284,249 1,334,543	1,264,515
5	Interest Rate	6.34%	5.49%	5.14%	4.78%	4.44%	3.93%	3.67%	3.59%	3.42%	2.81%	2.28%	1.97%	
6	Interest Expense ((L4*L5)/12)	(2)	(3)	(3)	479	1,584	2,463	2,973	3,362	3,418	2,923	2,540	2,191	21,925
7	EOM Balance (L1+L2+L6)	(727)	(730)	(733)	241,480	616,219	890,512	1,056,905	1,193,740	1,208,329	1,291,524	1,384,837	1,286,440	1,286,440
Line No		Jan-02	Feb-02	Mar-02	Apr-02	May-02	Jun-02	Jul-02	Aug-02	Son 02	Oct-02	Nov-02	Dec-02	Total
Line No	•	Jan-02	reb-02	Mai-02	Apr-02	May-02	Jun-02	Jui-02	Aug-02	Sep-02	OCI-02	1100-02	Dec-02	Total
1	BOM Balance	1,286,440	1,288,348	1,290,173	1,292,098	1,294,100	1,296,052	1,297,975	1,299,878	1,301,774	1,303,618	1,305,487	1,307,336	
2 3 4	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2	0 1,286,440 1,286,440	0 1,288,348 1,288,348	0 1,288,348 1,290,173	0 1,292,098 1,292,098	0 1,294,100 1,294,100	0 1,296,052 1,296,052	0 1,297,975 1,297,975	0 1,299,878 1,299,878	0 1,301,774 1,301,774	0 1,303,618 1,303,618	0 1,305,487 1,305,487	0 1,307,336 1,307,336	0
5	Interest Rate	1.78%	1.70%	1.79%	1.86%	1.81%	1.78%	1.76%	1.75%	1.70%	1.72%	1.70%	1.36%	
6	Interest Expense	1,908	1,825	1,925	2,003	1,952	1,922	1,904	1,896	1,844	1,869	1,849	1,482	22,378
7	EOM Balance	1,288,348	1,290,173	1,292,098	1,294,100	1,296,052	1,297,975	1,299,878	1,301,774	1,303,618	1,305,487	1,307,336	1,308,818	22,378
Line No		Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Total
1	BOM Balance	1,308,818	1,310,247	1,311,622	1,313,000	1,314,302	1,315,616	1,316,921	1,318,029	1,319,138	1,320,282	1,321,426	1,322,582	
2 3 4	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2	0 1,308,818 1,308,818	0 1,310,247 1,310,247	0 1,310,247 1,311,622	0 1,313,000 1,313,000	0 1,314,302 1,314,302	0 1,315,616 1,315,616	0 1,316,921 1,316,921	0 1,318,029 1,318,029	0 1,319,138 1,319,138	0 1,320,282 1,320,282	0 1,321,426 1,321,426	0 1,322,582 1,322,582	0
5	Interest Rate	1.31%	1.26%	1.26%	1.19%	1.20%	1.19%	1.01%	1.01%	1.04%	1.04%	1.05%	1.06%	
6	Interest Expense	1,429	1,376	1,377	1,302	1,314	1,305	1,108	1,109	1,143	1,144	1,156	1,168	14,933
7	EOM Balance	1,310,247	1,311,622	1,313,000	1,314,302	1,315,616	1,316,921	1,318,029	1,319,138	1,320,282	1,321,426	1,322,582	1,323,750	14,933

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERESTS ON 2002 ILPMA EXPENDITURES

Line No		Jan-02	Feb-02	Mar-02	Apr-02	May-02	Jun-02	Jul-02	Aug-02	Sep-02	Oct-02	Nov-02	Dec-02	Total
1	BOM Balance	0	72,976	(13,436)	(82,894)	45,858	142,670	217,200	333,469	496,915	1,416,541	1,477,582	685,869	
2 3 4	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2	72,922 0 36,461	(86,454) (13,478) 29,749	(69,386) (82,864) (48,129)	128,780 45,886 (18,504)	96,670 142,528 94,193	74,263 216,933 179,801	115,866 333,066 275,133	162,841 496,310 414,890	918,271 1,415,186 956,051	58,969 1,475,510 1,446,025	(793,245) 684,337 1,080,960	59,534 745,403 715,636	739,031
5	Interest Rate	1.78%	1.70%	1.79%	1.86%	1.81%	1.78%	1.76%	1.75%	1.70%	1.72%	1.70%	1.36%	
6	Interest Expense	54	42	(72)	(29)	142	267	404	605	1,354	2,073	1,531	811	7,183
7	EOM Balance	72,976	(13,436)	(82,894)	45,858	142,670	217,200	333,469	496,915	1,416,541	1,477,582	685,869	746,214	746,214
Line No		Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Total
1	BOM Balance	746,214	747,028	747,813	748,598	749,340	750,089	750,833	751,465	752,098	752,750	753,402	754,061	
2 3 4 5	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2 Interest Rate	0 746,214 746,214 1.31%	0 747,028 747,028	0 747,028 747,813	0 748,598 748,598	0 749,340 749,340 1.20%	0 750,089 750,089 1.19%	0 750,833 750,833	0 751,465 751,465 1.01%	0 752,098 752,098 1.04%	0 752,750 752,750 1.04%	0 753,402 753,402 1.05%	0 754,061 754,061 1.06%	0
6	Interest Expense	815	784	785	742	749	744	632	632	652	652	659	666	8,514
7	EOM Balance	747,028	747,813	748,598	749,340	750,089	750,833	751,465	752,098	752,750	753,402	754,061	754,727	8,514
Line No		Jan-04	Feb-04	Mar-04	Apr-04	May-04	Jun-04	Jul-04	Aug-04	Sep-04	Oct-04	Nov-04	Dec-04	Total
1	BOM Balance	754,727	755,551	756,344	757,139	757,889	758,647	759,400	760,039	760,679	761,338	761,998	762,664	
2 3 4	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2	0 754,727 754,727	0 755,551 755,551	0 755,551 756,344	0 757,139 757,139	0 757,889 757,889	0 758,647 758,647	0 759,400 759,400	0 760,039 760,039	0 760,679 760,679	0 761,338 761,338	0 761,998 761,998	0 762,664 762,664	0
5	Interest Rate	1.31%	1.26%	1.26%	1.19%	1.20%	1.19%	1.01%	1.01%	1.04%	1.04%	1.05%	1.06%	
6	Interest Expense	824	793	794	751	758	752	639	640	659	660	667	674	8,611
7	EOM Balance	755,551	756,344	757,139	757,889	758,647	759,400	760,039	760,679	761,338	761,998	762,664	763,338	8,611

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERESTS ON 2002-2003 ILPMA EXPENDITURES

Line No	D.	Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Total
1	BOM Balance	0	5,528	8,958	18,064	113,810	196,081	232,788	281,374	300,603	318,600	352,847	383,633	
2 3 4	Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2)	5,524 0	3,420 8,948	9,086 18,034	95,643 113,707	82,038 195,848	36,389 232,470	48,209 280,997	18,805 300,179	17,559 318,162	33,766 352,366	30,265 383,112	15,322 398,955	396,026
5	Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2 Interest Rate	2,762 1.78%	7,238 1.70%	13,501 1.79%	65,886 1.86%	154,829 1.81%	214,276 1.78%	256,892 1.76%	290,776 1.75%	309,382 1.70%	335,483 1.72%	367,979 1.70%	391,294 1.36%	
6	Interest Expense	4	10	20	102	234	318	377	424	438	481	521	443	3,373
7	EOM Balance	5,528	8,958	18,064	113,810	196,081	232,788	281,374	300,603	318,600	352,847	383,633	399,399	399,399
Line No	o.	Jan-04	Feb-04	Mar-04	Apr-04	May-04	Jun-04	Jul-04	Aug-04	Sep-04	Oct-04	Nov-04	Dec-04	Total
Line No	BOM Balance	Jan-04 399,399	Feb-04 399,835	Mar-04 400,255	Apr-04 400,675	May-04 401,072	Jun-04 401,473	Jul-04 401,871	Aug-04 402,210	Sep-04 402,548	Oct-04 402,897	Nov-04 403,246	Dec-04 403,599	Total
Line No. 1 2 3 4	•				•	•								Total 0
1 2 3	BOM Balance Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2)	399,399 0 399,399	399,835 0 399,835	400,255 0 399,835	400,675 0 400,675	401,072 0 401,072	401,473 0 401,473	401,871 0 401,871	402,210 0 402,210	402,548 0 402,548	402,897 0 402,897	403,246 0 403,246	403,599 0 403,599	
1 2 3 4	BOM Balance Total Costs Cumulative Ending Balance (L1+L2) Avg. Monthly Balance ((L1+L3)+L1)/2	399,399 0 399,399 399,399	399,835 0 399,835 399,835	400,255 0 399,835 400,255	400,675 0 400,675 400,675	401,072 0 401,072 401,072	401,473 0 401,473 401,473	401,871 0 401,871 401,871	402,210 0 402,210 402,210	402,548 0 402,548 402,548	402,897 0 402,897 402,897	403,246 0 403,246 403,246	403,599 0 403,599 403,599	

APPENDIX - C

	Page
Sampling workpapers	
A. Year 2001 – 2003	1
B. Year 2001	2
C. Year 2002	3
D. Year 2003	4,5

	lanuary	Echruany	March	April	May 2001	lung	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total	Total	Percent
	January 2001-2003	February 2001-2003	2001-2003	April 2001-2003	May 2001- 2003	June 2001-2003	2001-2003	August 2001-2003	September 2001-2003	2001-2003	2001-2003		Expenses	Sampled	Sampled
Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration			4.045	405.000	70.077	04.047	0.570	0.40	0	40	0		0.47.500		
Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	4,945 0	135,308 135,308	78,677 78,677	24,647 0	3,579 0	346 0	0	18 0	0	0	247,520	213,985	86.45%
Mandatory Customer Notification/Maili	0														
Total Expenses Sampled	161 0	340 0	759 0	2,076 0	168,528 168,528	3,657 2,121	26,171 25,681	3,453 3,453	1,028 0	35 0	1,796 0	402 0	208,406	199,783	95.86%
Outbound Calling Program															
Total Expenses Sampled	4,440 0	10,323 0	20,917 20,917	5,850 0	5,310 0	121,903 97,830	34,300 0	119,284 101,784	59,027 59,027	29,242 0	4,209 0	58,099 43,949	472,904	323,507	68.41%
Demand Bidding Program (DBP)	44.000	0.070	40.000	0.505	0.000	40.040	C2 257	50.405	(47.004)	20.400	62.420	44.000	070 000		
Total Expenses Sampled	14,009 14,009	8,070 0	18,006 18,006	9,565 0	9,663 0	10,340 10,340	63,357 63,357	58,125 47,705	(17,031) 0	30,108 0	63,128 61,747	11,920 0	279,260	215,164	77.05%
Base Interruptible Program (BIP)	04.50-	(0.0==)	44.0==	00.04=	400.05-	00.05	00.55	07.45	0.44.00:	40.055	7015	0.05-	4.040.05		
Total Expenses Sampled	31,588 31,588	(2,077) 0	14,379 0	88,617 67,663	100,682 100,682	33,668 0	32,527 0	37,184 15,001	844,904 832,458	19,828 19,828	7,843 0	3,220 0	1,212,363	1,067,220	88.03%
Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailme Total Expenses	nt Program (24,395	(OBMC) 17,991	16,058	75,617	84,319	78,722	70,884	44,315	28,515	31,201	22,399	20,399	514,815		
Sampled	24,395	17,991	16,058	71,543	84,319	0	60,974	8,285	0	25,405	0	20,399	314,013	308,970	60.02%
Scheduled Load Reduction Program (S	SLRP)	1,379	1,406	1,629	1,585	9,316	9,213	9,529	4,566	6,312	2,666	754	48,377		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	1,585	7,689	2,291	9,529	4,566	4,606	2,666	0	40,377	25,700	53.12%
Voluntary Demand Response Program										_					
Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	28,766 0	34,965 34,965	48,329 48,329	26,943 0	9,419 9,419	(1,107) 0	0	0	0	147,315	92,713	62.94%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Exp															
Total Expenses Sampled	0	23,343 23,343	1,135 0	84,083 84,083	11,416 0	16,801 0	12,311 0	14,678 0	9,540 0	838,368 838,368	(804,513) (804,513)	12,576 0	219,738	141,281	64.30%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Exp				04.000	50.740	05.000	40.000	40.707	47.550	00.740	00.005	45.000	000 000		
Total Expenses Sampled	5,524 0	3,420 0	4,141 0	34,098 0	56,718 51,558	35,099 29,819	48,209 13,724	18,787 17,948	17,559 0	33,748 33,748	30,265 0	15,322 11,678	302,890	158,475	52.32%
CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses	341	(145,823)	(142,046)	549	0	0	0	0	0	(815,854)	0	(4.40.404)	(1,251,257)		
Sampled	341	(145,823)	(142,046)	549	0	0	0	0	0	(815,854)	0	(148,424)	(1,251,257)	(1,251,257)	100.00%
TOTAL including CEC Excess Funding	•	(02.024)	(60.000)	466.450	EE1 000	200 400	207 404	245 400	047.004	170.000	(670.007)	(OF 700)	0.400.004		
Total Expenses Sampled	80,480 70,333	(83,034) (104,489)	(60,300) (87,065)	466,158 359,146	551,863 520,314	382,482 196,128	327,494 166,027	315,120 213,124	947,001 891,485	173,006 106,101	(672,207) (742,766)	(25,732) (92,797)	2,402,331	1,495,541	62.25%
PERCENT SAMPLED	87.39%	125.84%	144.39%	77.04%	94.28%	51.28%	50.70%	67.63%	94.14%	61.33%	110.50%	360.63%	0.440.001		
													2,440,061 (37,730)		
TOTAL excluding CEC Excess Fundin Total Expenses	g 80,139	62,789	81,746	465,609	551,863	382,482	327,494	315,120	947,001	988,860	(672.207)	122,692	3,653,588		
Sampled	69,992	41,334	54,981	358,597	520,314	196,128	166,027	213,124	891,485	921,955	(742,766)	55,627	J,00J,000	2,746,798	75.18%
PERCENT SAMPLED	87.34%	65.83%	67.26%	77.02%	94.28%	51.28%	50.70%	67.63%	94.14%	93.23%	110.50%	45.34%			

	Jan-01	Feb-01	Mar-01	Apr-01	May-01	Jun-01	Jul-01	Aug-01	Sep-01	Oct-01	Nov-01	Dec-01	Total Expenses	Total Sampled	
Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies Total Expenses	0	0	0	73,763	53,357	23,357	3,579	328	0	0	0	0	154,384		
Sampled	0	0	0	73,763	53,357	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	104,004	127,120	82.34%
Mandatory Customer Notification/Mailing Total Expenses Sampled	0 0	0	0	0	163,350 163,350	2,121 2,121	490 0	0	123 0	(199) 0	0	387 0	166,272	165,471	99.52%
Outbound Calling Program Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	97,830 97,830	4,500 0	17,500 0	0	14,150 0	0	14,150 0	148,130	97,830	66.04%
Demand Bidding Program (DBP) Total Expenses Sampled	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	46,995 46,995	47,705 47,705	(27,583) 0	21,719 0	61,747 61,747	11,621 0	162,204	156,447	96.45%
Base Interruptible Program (BIP) Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	67,663 67,663	47,342 47,342	21,969 0	13,016 0	15,001 15,001	12,446 0	14,590 14,590	6,317 0	2,184 0	200,528	144,596	72.11%
Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment Program (OB Total Expenses Sampled	MC) 0 0	0	0	71,543 71,543	74,141 74,141	70,535 0	60,974 60,974	36,030 0	24,259 0	25,405 25,405	20,081	19,039 0	402,007	232,063	57.73%
Scheduled Load Reduction Program (SLRP) Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	7,689 7,689	6,922 0	7,491 7,491	3,033 0	4,606 4,606	2,628 0	455 0	32,824	19,786	60.28%
Voluntary Demand Response Program (VDRP) Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	28,766 0	34,965 34,965	48,329 48,329	26,943 0	9,419 9,419	(1,107) 0	0	0	0	147,315	92,713	62.94%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Expenses Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.00%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Expenses - Non Fir Total Expenses Sampled	m Rates 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.00%
CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(148,424) (148,424)	(148,424)	(148,424)	100.00%
TOTAL including CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	241,735 212,969	373,155 373,155	271,830 155,969	163,419 107,969	133,474 79,616	11,171 0	80,271 44,601	90,773 61,747	(100,588) (148,424)	1,265,240	887,602	
PERCENT SAMPLED Total 2002 AEAP	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	88.10%	100.00%	57.38%	66.07%	59.65%	0.00%	55.56%	68.02%	147.56%	1,265,964 (724)		70.15%
TOTAL excluding CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	241,735 212,969	373,155 373,155	271,830 155,969	163,419 107,969	133,474 79,616	11,171 0	80,271 44,601	90,773 61,747	47,836 0	1,413,664	1,036,026	
PERCENT SAMPLED	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	88.10%	100.00%	57.38%	66.07%	59.65%	0.00%	55.56%	68.02%	0.00%			73.29%

	Jan-02	Feb-02	Mar-02	Apr-02	May-02	Jun-02	Jul-02	Aug-02	Sep-02	Oct-02	Nov-02	Dec-02	Total Expenses	Total Sampled	
Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration Studies Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Mandatory Customer Notification/Mailing Total Expenses Sampled	161 0	340 0	759 0	2,076 0	5,178 5,178	1,536 0	25,681 25,681	3,453 3,453	905 0	234 0	1,796 0	15 0	42,134	34,312	81.44%
Outbound Calling Program Total Expenses Sampled	4,440 0	10,323 0	20,917 20,917	5,850 0	5,310 0	24,073 0	29,800 0	101,784 101,784	59,027 59,027	15,092 0	4,209 0	43,949 43,949	324,774	225,677	69.49%
Demand Bidding Program (DBP) Total Expenses Sampled	14,009 14,009	8,070 0	18,006 18,006	9,565 0	9,663 0	10,340 10,340	16,362 16,362	10,420	10,552 0	8,389 0	1,381 0	299 0	117,056	58,717	50.16%
Base Interruptible Program (BIP) Total Expenses Sampled	31,588 31,588	(2,077) 0	14,379 0	20,954	53,340 53,340	11,699 0	19,511 0	22,183 0	832,458 832,458	5,238 5,238	1,526 0	1,036 0	1,011,835	922,624	91.18%
Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailment Program (O Total Expenses Sampled	BMC) 24,395 24,395	17,991 17,991	16,058 16,058	4,074 0	10,178 10,178	8,187 0	9,910 0	8,285 8,285	4,256 0	5,796 0	2,318 0	1,360 0	112,808	76,907	68.18%
Scheduled Load Reduction Program (SLRP) Total Expenses Sampled	22	1,379 0	1,406 0	1,629 0	1,585 1,585	1,627	2,291 2,291	2,038 2,038	1,533	1,706	38	299 0	15,553	5,914	38.02%
Voluntary Demand Response Program (VDRP) Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Expenses Total Expenses Sampled	0	23,343 23,343	1,135 0	84,083 84,083	11,416 0	16,801	12,311	14,678 0	9,540 0	838,368 838,368	(804,513) (804,513)	12,576 0	219,738	141,281	64.30%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Expenses - Non F			-	- 1,555			-			,	(55.,515)			,	
Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	341 341	(145,823) (145,823)	(142,046) (142,046)	549 549	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	(815,854) (815,854)	0	0	(1,102,834)	(1,102,833)	100.00%
TOTAL including CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	74,956 70,333	(86,454) (104,489)	(69,386) (87,065)	128,780 84,632	96,670 70,281	74,263 10,340	115,866 44,334	162,841 115,560	918,271 891,485	58,969 27,752	(793,245) (804,513)	59,534 43,949	741,065	362,599	
PERCENT SAMPLED Total 2003 AEAP	93.83%	120.86%	125.48%	65.72%	72.70%	13.92%	38.26%	70.96%	97.08%	47.06%	101.42%	73.82%	743,097 (2,032)		48.93%
TOTAL excluding CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	74,615 69,992	59,369 41,334	72,660 54,981	128,231 84,083	96,670 70,281	74,263 10,340	115,866 44,334	162,841 115,560	918,271 891,485	874,823 843,606	(793,245) (804,513)	59,534 43,949	1,843,898	1,465,432	
PERCENT SAMPLED	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	65.57%	72.70%	13.92%	38.26%	70.96%	97.08%	96.43%	101.42%	73.82%			79.47%

													Total	Total	
	Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Expenses	Sampled	
Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfigu	ration Studi	20													
Total Expenses	0	0	4,945	61,545	25,320	1,290	0	18	0	18	0	0	93,136		
Sampled	0	0	0	61,545	25,320	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	00,100	86,865	93.27%
Mandatory Customer Notification/Maili	ng														
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Outbound Calling Program															
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Demand Bidding Program (DBP)															
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Base Interruptible Program (BIP)															
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Optional Binding Mandatory Curtailme	_														
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Scheduled Load Reduction Program (
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.000/
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
Voluntary Demand Response Program	. ,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000/
Sampled	0	0	0	Ü	U	Ü	Ü	0	Ü	Ü	Ü	U		U	0.00%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Exp		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Sampled	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		U	0.00%
Total Customer Prog. Admin. & Gener	al Expense	s - Non Firr	n Rates (20	02 & 2003)											
Total Expenses	5,524	3,420	4,141	34,098	56,718	35,099	48,209	18,787	17,559	33,748	30,265	15,322	302,890		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	51,558	29,819	13,724	17,948	0	33,748	0	11,678		158,475	52.32%
CEC Excess Funding															
Total Expenses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sampled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0.00%
TOTAL including CEC Excess Funding															
Total Expenses	5,524	3,420	9,086	95,643	82,038	36,389	48,209	18,805	17,559	33,766	30,265	15,322	396,026		
Sampled	0	0	0	61,545	76,878	29,819	13,724	17,948	0	33,748	0	11,678		245,340	
PERCENT SAMPLED	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	64.35%	93.71%	81.95%	28.47%	95.44%	0.00%	99.95%	0.00%	76.22%			61.95%
Total 2004 AEAP													431,000		
													(34,974)		
TOTAL excluding CEC Excess Fundir							40		.=			.=:			
Total Expenses	5,524	3,420	9,086	95,643	82,038	36,389	48,209	18,805	17,559	33,766	30,265	15,322	396,026		

	Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03	Sep-03	Oct-03	Nov-03	Dec-03	Total Expenses	Total Sampled	
													#REF!		
TOTAL excluding CEC Excess Funding Total Expenses Sampled	ng 5,524 0	3,420 0	9,086 0	95,643 61,545	82,038 76,878	36,389 29,819	48,209 13,724	18,805 17,948	17,559 0	33,766 33,748	30,265 0	15,322 11,678	396,026	245,340	
PERCENT SAMPLED	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	64.35%	93.71%	81.95%	28.47%	95.44%	0.00%	99.95%	0.00%	76.22%			61.95%
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Ex Total Expenses Sampled	penses - No 5,524 0	on Firm Rat 3,420 0	es (2003) 4,141 0	6,623 0	5,160 0	5,280 0	13,724 13,724	17,948 17,948	10,906 0	18,562 18,562	22,746 0	11,678 11,678	125,712	61,912	
Customer Prog. Admin. & General Ex	penses - No	on Firm Rat	, ,												
Total Expenses Sampled	0	0	0	27,475 0	51,558 51,558	29,819 29,819	34,485 0	839 0	6,653 0	15,186 15,186	7,519 0	3,644 0	177,178	96,563	
Total Customer Prog. Admin. & Gene	ral Expense	s - Non Firr	m Rates												
Total Expenses Sampled	5,524 0	3,420 0	4,141 0	34,098 0	56,718 51,558	35,099 29,819	48,209 13,724	18,787 17,948	17,559 0	33,748 33,748	30,265 0	15,322 11,678	302,890	158,475	

APPENDIX - D

		Page
A.	Total Incremental Costs	1
B.	Non-Labor Incremental Costs	4
C.	Headroom Account	5

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT FOR YEARS 2001, 2002 AND 2003

	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Circuit Reconfiguration and Studies				
Labor	154,384	0	13,785	168,169
Non-Labor	0	0	109,215	109,215
Incentive Payments	0	0	0	0
Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	154,384	0	123,000	277,384
Percent of Labor to Total Cost				60.63%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				39.37%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Mandatory Customer Notifications/Mailing				
Labor	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	166,997	42,134	0	209,131
Incentive Payments	0	0	0	0
Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	166,997	42,134	0	209,131
Percent of Labor to Total Cost				0.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				100.00%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Outbound Calling Program				
Labor	0	227,629	0	227,629
Non-Labor	148,130	97,143	0	245,273
Incentive Payments	0	0	0	0
Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	472.003
Total Request	148,130	324,772	U	472,902
Percent of Labor to Total Cost Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				48.13% 51.87%
reicent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				31.07 %
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Customer Program Administrative & General Expenses	0	000 750	200,000	F0F C47
Labor Non-Labor	0	222,759	302,888	525,647
Incentive Payments	0	0	0	0 0
Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	0	222,759	302,888	525,647
Percent of Labor to Total Cost		222,700	002,000	100.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				0.00%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Demand Bidding Program	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Labor	162,203	117,055	0	279,258
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0
Incentive Payments	0	0	0	0
Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	162,203	117,055	0	279,258
Percent of Labor to Total Cost				100.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				0.00%

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT FOR YEARS 2001, 2002 AND 2003

	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Scheduled Load Reduction Programs				
Labor	32,825	15,552	0	48,377
Non-Labor	0 0	0	0	0
Incentive Payments Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	32,825	15,552	0	48,377
Percent of Labor to Total Cost		,		100.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				0.00%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Base Interruptible Program				
Labor	200,526	195,981	0	396,507
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0
Incentive Payments Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	200,526	195,981	0	396,507
Percent of Labor to Total Cost		,		100.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				0.00%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Optional Bidding Mandatory Curtailment Program	<u> </u>		-	
Labor	402,006	(175,060)	0	226,946
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0
Incentive Payments	0	0	0	0
Less: Revenues from Penalties Total Request	402,006	(175,060)	0	226,946
Percent of Labor to Total Cost	402,000	(173,000)		100.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				0.00%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Voluntary Demand Response Program				
Labor	147,315	0	0	147,315
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0
Incentive Payments Less: Revenues from Penalties	0 0	0	0	0
Total Request	147,315	0	0	147,315
Percent of Labor to Total Cost	111,010			100.00%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				0.00%
	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
CEC Funding				
Labor	0	(98)	0	(98)
Non-Labor	(148,423)	0	5,118	(143,305)
Incentive Payments Less: Revenues from Penalties	0	0	0	0
Total Request	(148,423)	(98)	5,118	(143,403)
Percent of Labor to Total Cost	(1.10,120)	(00)	3,1.0	0.07%
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost				99.93%

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT FOR YEARS 2001, 2002 AND 2003

Labor 1,099,259 603,818 316,673 2,019,750 10,001,1abor 166,704 139,277 114,333 420,314 10,001,1abor 166,704 139,277 114,333 420,314 10,001,1abor 166,704 139,277 114,333 420,314 10,001,1abor 10,001 10,			2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Non-Labor 166,704 139,27 114,333 420,314 10centive Payments 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ILPMA Overall Requests	•				
Concentive Payments						
Less: Revenues from Penalties 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			166,704	139,277	114,333	420,314
Total Request before Interest 1,265,963	•		_		_	_
ILPMA Interests:						
19,748	•		1,265,963	743,095	431,006	2,440,064
2001 in 2002 (per 2002 AEAP)			40 740			40 = 40
2001 in 2003 (per 2003 AEAP)			19,748	00.400		
2002 in 2002 (per 2003 AEAP) 7,000 <				23,109	•	_
2002 in 2003 (per 2004 AEAP) 2003 in 2003 (per 2004 AEAP) Total Incremental Costs before audit adjustments Percent of Labor to Total Cost Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost Rudit Adjustments: (Note 1) Circuit Reconfiguration & Studies Administrative & General Expenses CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings Percent of Non-Labor to Total Audit Adjustments Total Audit Adjustments Page 1 Interests: (See Appendix B) 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 22,378 2001 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2004 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2005 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2006 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2007 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2008 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2009 in				7.000	0	_
1974 1974				7,000	0	
19,748 30,109 4,000 53,857 1,285,711 773,204 435,006 2,493,292 1,285,711 773,204 435,006 2,493,292 1,285,711 773,204 435,006 2,493,292 16,85% 16,85						_
1,285,711	2003 in 2003 (per 2004 AEAP)	-	10.749	20.100		
Percent of Labor to Total Cost 80.99% Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost 16.85%	Total Ingramental Costs before audit adjustments	-				
Percent of Non-Labor to Total Cost	-	-	1,203,711	113,204	433,000	
Audit Adjustments: (Note 1) Circuit Reconfiguration & Studies Administrative & General Expenses (3,021) (5,118) (8,139) CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,42) Total Audit Adjustments (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,42) Total Incremental Costs before Interests Interests: (See Appendix B) 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2004 in 2005 in						
Circuit Reconfiguration & Studies (29,865) (29,865) Administrative & General Expenses (3,021) (5,118) (8,139) CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Audit Adjustments (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Incremental Costs before Interests 19,748 (71,170) 400,023 2,456,179 Interests: (See Appendix B) 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2,177 201 2,177 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2,177 201 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 (731) (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 7,000 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 8,514 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 8,514 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request	reicent of Nort-Labor to Total Cost					10.03 /6
Circuit Reconfiguration & Studies (29,865) (29,865) Administrative & General Expenses (3,021) (5,118) (8,139) CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Audit Adjustments (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Incremental Costs before Interests 19,748 (71,170) 400,023 2,456,179 Interests: (See Appendix B) 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2,177 201 2,177 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2,177 201 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 (731) (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 7,000 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 8,514 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000 4,000	Audit Adjustments: (Note 1)					
Administrative & General Expenses CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings Total Audit Adjustments Total Incremental Costs before Interests 19,748 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 24,933 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 24,933 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2002 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) (627) Total Interest per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 7,8306 7,8					(29.865)	(29.865)
CEC Funding Notifications & Mailings 987 987 Notifications & Mailings (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Audit Adjustments (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Incremental Costs before Interests 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 Interests: (See Appendix B) 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2,177 2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2,177 2 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 23,109 (731)				(3.021)		
Notifications & Mailings (725) (2,034) (34,983) (37,742) Total Incremental Costs before Interests 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 Interests: (See Appendix B) 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 22,378 (731) (731) 2001 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2,177 (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 78,306 7001 (701) (701) Total Interest Adjustment 24,449 Total Interest Adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449					(0,110)	
Total Incremental Costs before Interests 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 771,170 400,023 2,456,179 1,284,986 1			(725)			
Interests: (See Appendix B)		•		(2,034)	(34,983)	
2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2001 in 2002: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2003: Per Audit 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (6	Total Incremental Costs before Interests	•	1,284,986	771,170		
2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2001 in 2002: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2003: Per Audit 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (6		•				
2001 in 2001: Per Recovery Request 19,748 2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2001 in 2002: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 2001 in 2003: Per Audit 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 2002: Per Recovery Request 0 2002: in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002: in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627)						
2001 in 2001: Per Audit (Note 2) 21,925 2,177 2,177 2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 23,109 (731) (731) 2001 in 2002: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 14,933 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 183 183 183 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 8,514 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 (627) (627) Total Interest Adjustment 24,449 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Interest Adjustments Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) <t< td=""><td></td><td>40 = 40</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		40 = 40				
2001 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 23,109 2001 in 2002: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2001 in 2003: Per Audit 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 Total Interest per Recover Request 78,306 Total Interest Adjustment 24,449 (78,306 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 (78,312) (78,3			0.477			0.477
2001 in 2002: Per Audit 22,378 (731) (731) 2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 183			2,177			2,177
2001 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2001 in 2003: Per Audit 14,933 14,933 14,933 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 183 183 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 8,514 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 (627) (627) Total Interest Adjustment 78,306 78,306 77,622 24,449 Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)				(704)		(704)
2001 in 2003: Per Audit 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 14,933 183 2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 183 <				(731)		(731)
2002 in 2002: Per Recovery Request 7,000 2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2003: Per Audit 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (6		_			14.022	14 022
2002 in 2002: Per Audit (Note 2) 7,183 183 183 2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 8,514 8,514 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Audit 4,000 (627) (627) 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 (627) (627) Total Interest Adjustment 78,306 (70,449) (70,449) Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)					14,933	14,933
2002 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 0 2002 in 2003: Per Audit 8,514 2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 Total Interest Adjustment 78,306 Total Interest Adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs)				192		192
2002 in 2003: Per Audit 8,514 2,517 9 8,514 8,514<	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			103		103
2003 in 2003: Per Recovery Request 4,000 2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 Total Interest per Audit 78,306 Total Interest Adjustment 24,449 Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)		_			Q 51 <i>1</i>	8 51 <i>1</i>
2003 in 2003: Per Audit (Note 2) 3,373 (627) Total Interest per Recover Request 53,857 Total Interest per Audit 78,306 Total Interest Adjustment 24,449 Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)					0,514	0,514
Total Interest per Recover Request Total Interest per Audit Total Interest Adjustment 53,857 78,306 78	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				(627)	(627)
Total Interest per Audit Total Interest Adjustment 78,306 24,449 24,449 Total Interest adjustments Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)					(021)	(021)
Total Interest Adjustment 24,449 Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)						
Total Interest adjustments 2,177 (548) 22,820 24,449 Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)	·					
Total Incremental Costs Before Headroom Adjustment 1,287,163 770,622 422,843 2,480,628 Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)		,	2 177	(548)	22 820	24 449
Headroom Account (including interest costs) (1,287,929) (878,312) (166,185) (2,332,426)		•				
	.,,	•	, ,	,-	,	
			(1,287,929)	(878,312)		(2,332,426)
	INCREMENTAL COSTS ALLOWED TO BE RECOVERED	•	(766)	(107,690)	256,658	

Note 1 - Accepted Detailed Report submitted. No support shown for AEAP.

Note 2 - Audit Adjustments included in the computation of interests.

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT INCREMENTAL NON-LABOR COSTS FOR YEARS 2001, 2002 AND 2003

	2001	2002	2003	TOTAL
Total Non Labor Costs				
Circuit Reconfiguration and Reconfiguration	on Studies			
Construction	0	0	0	0
Consult Services-Comp.	0	0	79,350	79,350
Engineering/Mapping	0	0	0	0
Management Sercies	0	0	0	0
Others	0	0	29,865	29,865
Maintain & Operate Services	0	0	0	0
Total Non-Labor Costs	0	0	109,215	109,215
Mandatory Customer Notification/Mailing				
Reprographics	1,279	1,375	0	2,654
Bill Processing	0	188	0	188
Print Materials, Signs & Sup.	0	896	0	896
Material Burden	0	90	0	90
Consulting Services	0	5,163	0	5,163
Contracts	0	25,475	0	25,475
Others	725	0	0	725
Postage	999	8,947	0	9,946
Building-utilities	644	0	0	644
Commerce Printing Services	163,350	0	0	163,350
Total Non-Labor Costs	166,997	42,134	0	209,131
Outbound Calling Program				
Technical Services	0	413	0	413
Consulting Services	0	96,705	0	96,705
Meal Expense	0	25	0	25
Envoy Contract Services	148,130	0	0	148,130
Total Non-Labor Costs	148,130	97,143	0	148,130
	-,	- ,		-,
CEC Funding				
ISO DRP (RAS)	242,760	0	0	242,760
CEC	493,740	0	5,118	498,858
Silicon Energy Project	79,078	0	0	79,078
Load Management Admin	133,293	0	0	133,293
E-BIP not Funded by Request	46,096	0	0	46,096
CEC Funding Credits	(1,143,389)	0	0	(1,143,389)
	(148,422)	0	5,118	(143,304)
Total Non-labor Incremental Costs	166,705	139,277	114,333	323,172

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY Headroom Audit Advice Letter 2555-G/2521-E Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	ED_004-01		
PG&E File Name:	HeadroomAudit_DR_ED	_004-01	
	·	Requester DR No.:	J04
Date Sent:	November 5, 2004	Requesting Party:	Energy Division
PG&E Witness:	Karen Forsgard	Requester:	Jerry Oh

QUESTION 1

Please provide supporting documentation from PG&E's accounting system for the amounts shown on lines 2 through 16 of Attachment 1, "2003 Headroom Calculation", to the June 14, 2004, headroom Advice Letter 2555-G/2521-E.

ANSWER 1

Attachment ED_004-01-1 provides the requested supporting documentation. Please note that the referenced amounts on Attachment 1 show 2003 activity levels, i.e., the difference between the December 31, 2003, end-of-year balances less the January 1, 2003, beginning-of-year balances in the Headroom Account. Thus, the supporting documentation presents the activity in the Headroom Account for each line item for the entire year 2003.

Page 1

Attachment 1
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
2003 Headroom Calculation

As of December 31, 2003, With Updated Data*

(Millions of Dollars - Parentheses Denote Reductions to Headroom)

(
Line	Description	2003.00000000
1	Transition Cost Balance Activity (Pro-Tax)	
2	Transition Revenue Account (TRA)	-3754.36223671
3	Transition Cost Balancing Account (TCBA)	-459.17427701
4	Generation Asset Balancing Account (GABA)	26.23941328
5	Generation Memo Accounts (GMA)	1712.84869438
6	Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA)	142.83116806
7	Self-Generation Program Memo Account (SGPMA)	19.19104051
8	Demand Responsiveness Program Memo Account (DRPMA)	3.26787941
9	Net Energy Metering Mamo Account (NEMMA)	1 40464599
	Interruptible Load Programs Memo Account (ILPMA)	0,16618472
	Capital Audit Consultant Cost Memo Account (CACCMA)	-0.09019946
	Real Time Energy Metering Memo Account (RTEMMA)	-69,25740475
	DWR/ISO Balancing Account Activity Divestiture Costs	27.90000000
	Advanced Metering And Demand Response Account (AMDRA)	5.48285276
10	Distributed Energy Resource Energy Account (DERMA)	0,45241829
	Subtotal Transition Cost Balance Activity (pre-tax) (To Line 31)	-2342.89632584
.,	Subtotal Transition cost balance Activity (pre-tax) (10 one of)	20
18	2003 GRC Decision Adjustments (Pre-Tax)	
	2003 GRC Distribution RRQ Adjustment	200.0
	2003 GRC Generation RRQ Adjustment	78.6
	2003 GRC Diablo Canyon Property Tax Reserve	(6.1)
	2003 GRC Humboldt SAFSTOR RRQ Increase	0.5
	Subtotal GRC Decision Adjustments (To Line 32)	273.0
	, , ,	
24	Additional Generation Asset Accounting Impacts (Pre-Tax)	
25	New "Plant" Asset	2,098.8
26	Amortization of New "Plant" Asset	(601.6)
	New Regulatory Asset	120.8
	Amortization of New Regulatory Asset	(56.4)
29	Subtotal Additional Generation Asset Accounting Impacts (To Line 33)	1,561.6
	HEADROOM CALCULATION	(2 242 0)
	Transition Cost Balance Activity (pre-tax) (From Line 17)	(2.342.9)
32	2003 GRC Decision Adjustments (pre-tax) (From Line 23)	1,561.6
	Additional Generation Asset Accounting Impacts (pre-tax) (From Line 29)	(341.4)
34	DWR Accrual Due to Change in Methodology FERC TO (Transmission Owner Case) 1 2 and 3 Transfer	(32.1)
35		(28.1)
36 27	Bliateral Reserve Reversal of Interest Accrued in Transition Cost Balancing Accounts	(33.6)
37 38	Utility Retained Generation (URG) Adjustment	(171.3)
39	GRC Rehearing (1999 GRC)	111.0
40	Gain on Settlement with DA Service Providers: Reclass to Reg Asset	11.0
41	Enron Bilateral Settlement	(17.6)
42	Mirant Bilateral Settlement	0.8
43	WAPA Capacity Revenue Adjustment	66.5
44	Interest on Nuclear Decommissioning Trust	(0.7)
45	Grizziy Power Sale	(59.7)
46	WAPA Regulation Credits - 2003 Beginning Balance Adjustment	15.6
47	WAPA FERC Rate Change Adjustment	1.5
48	Direct Access CRS Undercollection Accrual	(3.4)
49	2002 UGBA Audit Adjustment	(9.2)
50	Other	3.8
51	Pre-tax Adjusted Headroom	(993.2)
52	Less Headroom Limit	(875.0)
53	2003 Headroom Account (HA) Regulatory Liability	(118.2)
		2024
54	2004 Headroom Account (HA) Entries	2004
	2004 Beginning of Year HA Balance (from Line 53)	(51.8)
56	Post-Retirement Benefits Other than Pension (PBOP) True-up	(7.2)
57	FERC TO3 Retail Refund	(5.1)
58	FERC TO6 Retail Refund Total MA Reculatory Lightliby in 2004	(182.3)
59	Total HA Regulatory Liability in 2004	1.02.0)

This table uses the format from page 1 of Exhibit 120B in 1.02-04-026, dated September 23 2003, updated for: 1) and-of-year 2003 data: 2) the 2003 GRC Decision 04-05-055; and 3) certain additional adjustments not included in Exhibit 120B.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CORPORATE ACCOUNTING

Interruptible Load Programs Memorandum Account (ILPMA) G/L# 1823085

Month	[1] Recorded	[2] Revised	=(F(2=***,1,2) Costs for Period	Interest Rate	Interest Amt	Monthly Balance	Prior Period Adjusted Accumulated Balance	SAP Balance
Hour	Recorded		0.00				0.00	
Jan-01			0.00	6.34%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Feb-01			0.00	5.49%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Mar-01			0.00	5.14%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Apr-01	241,735.00		241,735.00	4.78%	481.46	242,216.46	242,216.46	
May-01	373,154,00		373,154.00	4.44%	1,586.54	374,740.54	616,957.00	
Jun-01	272,555.00		272,555.00	3.93%	2,466.84	275,021.84	891,978.84	
Jul-01	163,419.00		163,419.00	3.67%	2,977.86	166,396.86	1,058,375.70	
Aug-01	133,474.00		133,474.00	3.59%	3,365.96	136,839.96	1,195,215.66	
Sep-01	11,171.00		11,171.00	3.42%	3,422.28	14,593.28	1,209,808.94	
Oct-01	80,271.00		80,271.00	2.81%	2,926.95	83,197.95	1,293,006.89	
Nov-01	90,773.00		90,773.00	2.28%	2,542.95	93,315.95	1,386,322.84	
Dec-01	(100,587.00)		(100,587.00)	1.97%	2,193.31	(98,393.69)	1,287,929.15	
Jan-02	160,727.61		160,727.61	1.78%	2,029.63	162,757.24	1,450,686.39	
Feb-02	(81,362.78)		(81,362.78)	1.70%	1,997.51	(79,365.27)	1,371,321.12	
Mar-02	6,073.48		6,073.48	1.79%	2,050.08	8,123.56	1,379,444.68	
Арг-02	186,187.44		186,187.44	1.86%	2,282.43	188,469.87	1,567,914.55	
May-02	120,460.39		120,460.39	1.61%	2,455.78	122,916,17	1,690,830.72	
Jun-02	(119,639.09)		(119,639.09)	1.78%	2,419.33	(117,219.76)	1,573,610.96	
Jul-02	142,415.38		142,415.36	1.76%	2,412.40	144,827.76	1,718,438.72	
Aug-02	160,762.33		160,762.33	1.75%	2,623.28	163,385.61	1,881,824.33 2,818,101.06	
Sep-02	932,949.97		932,949.97	1.70%	3,326.76	936,276.73	2,884,081.00	
Oct-02	61,896.30		61,896.30	1.72%	4,083.64	65,979.94	2,100,381.14	
Nov-02	(787,228.02)		(787,228.02)	1.70%	3,528.16	(783,699.86) 65,862.22	2,166,243.36	
Dec-02	63,445.84		63,445.84	1.36%	2,416,38	19,860.94	2,186,104.30	
Jan-03	17,486.56	(0.02)	17,486.58	1.31%	2,374.36	20,365.77	2,206,470.07	
Feb-03	18,060.88		18,060.88	1.26%	2,304.89	23,617.26	2,230,087.33	
Mar-03	21,307.76		21,307.76	1.25%	2,309.50	16,331.75	2,246,419.08	
Apr-03	14,113.25		14,113.25	1. 19% 1.20%	2,218.50 2,252.17	13,748.27	2,260,167.35	
May-03	11,496.10		11,496.10		2,232.17	(25,706.16)	2,234,461.19	
Jun-03	(27,933.64)		(27,933.64)	1.19% 1.01%	1,887.94	19,162.94	253,565.49	
Jul-03	17,275.00		17,275.00 38,911.15	1.01%	1,913.18	40,824.33	2,294,448.46	
Aug-03	38,911.15			1.04%	2,004.58	39,068.59	2,333,517.05	
Sep-03	37,064.01		37,064.01	1.04%	2,042.26	47,909.78	2,381,426.83	
Oct-03	45,867.52		45,867.52 23,713.14	1.05%	2,094.12	25,807.26	2,407,234.09	
Nov-03	23,713,14		10,443.76	1.06%	2,131.00	12,574.76	2,419,808.85	
Dec-03	10,443.76		2,340,459.34	1.0076	79,349.51	2,419,808.85	2,110,000.00	
			2,340,433.34			Written off per PAR	(2,419,808.85)	
					Datanco	William on por 1 Art	0.00	
Jan-04	14,394.45		14,394.45	1.05%	6.30	14,400.75	14,400.75	
Feb-04	23,717.14		23,717.14	1.01%	22.10	23,739.24	38,139.99	
Mar-04	28,216.13		28,216.13	1.01%	43.98	28,260.11	66,400.10	
Apr-04	30,873.12		30,873.12	1.00%	68.20	30,941.32	97,341.42	
May-04	22,691.15		22,691.15	1.05%	95.10	22,786.25	120,127.67	
Jun-04	24,192.96		24,192.96	1.07%	117.90	24,310.86	144,438.53	
Jul-04	17,275.98		17,275.96	1.34%	170.94	17,446.90	161,885.43	
Aug-04	30,873.12	34,937.83	34,937.83	1.50%	224.19	35,162.02	197,047.45	192,980.20
Sep-04	25,761.16		25,761.16	1.62%	283.40	26,044.56	223,092.01	
Oct-04	13,228.24		13,228.24	1.75%	334.99	13,563.23	236,655.24	
Nov-04			0.00					
Dec-04			0.00					
		•	235,288.14		1,367.10	236,655.24		
Cumulativ	ely booking Total		222,059.90		1,032.11	223,092.01		
Diff. (Curre	ent Booking Amt.)		13,228.24		334.99	13,563.23		

Note: The purpose of the Interruptible Load Programs Memorandrum Account (ILPMA) is to record costs incurred by PG&E to Implement and administer new interruptible load and rotating outage programs during calendar years 2001 and 2002, where these costs are in excess of the costs authorized in current rates but represent new expenditures or activities that PG&E has been ordered to undertake pursuant to CPUC Decision (D.) 01-04-005.

When Chapter 4 of the 2002 Annual Earnings Assessment Proceeding (AEAP) was updated, the 2001 ILIPMA request costs was adjusted to increase to \$1,285,984. as agreed to the table provided by Kevin Ernst.

Greg Backens is the designated ILIPMA account manager for 2002, as agreed by Jay Luo and Garth Johnson (RRQ). Greg provides the orders for 2002.

ILPMA Balance as of Dec. 31, 2003 was written off as direct by PAR decision. This balance was simultaneously offset by the reversal of the reserve for this account. ILPMA reserve balance as of March 31, 2004 was also reversed and no further reserve was deem necessary.

Dr/Cr	SAP#	Description	Amount	Cost Ctr.
40	1823085	ILPMA	13,563.23	
50	4103030	Int Inc Othr	334.99	10610
50	5010390	BA exp. Defr.	13,228.24	11791
		Ralanco Chart	0	

C:\DATA\Energy accts\ILPMA\[iLPMA.xls]ILPMA2

2003 ILPMA Subledger

G/L account

1823085

BA - ILPMA

Company code

PGE1

Pacific Gas & Electric Co

Business area

Fiscal year

2003

All documents in currency *

Displ. currency USD

Period	Debit	Credit	Balance	Cum.	balance
Balance Carryforward 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	19,860.92 20,365.77 23,617.26 16,331.75 13,748.27 19,162.94 40,824.33 39,068.59 47,909.78 25,807.26 12,574.76	25,706.16	19,860.92 20,365.77 23,617.26 16,331.75 13,748.27 25,706.16- 19,162.94 40,824.33 39,068.59 47,909.78 25,807.26 12,574.76	2,186 2,206 2,230 2,246 2,260 2,234 2,253 2,294 2,333 2,381 2,407 2,419 2,419 2,419 2,419	,243.38 ,104.30 ,470.07 ,087.33 ,419.08 ,167.35 ,461.19 ,624.13 ,448.46 ,517.05 ,426.83 ,234.09 ,808.85 ,808.85 ,808.85
Total	279,271.63	25,706.16	253,565.47	2,419	,808.85

Appendix – E

PG&E – ILPMA Audit Program and Procedures

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY INTERRUPTIBLE LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM MEMORANDUM ACCOUNT AUDIT PROGRAM FIELD WORK – ACCOUNTING

Audit Objective

To assure reasonableness of program costs and revenues recorded in the Interruptible Load Management Program Memorandum Account (ILPMA). Program costs are incremental costs incurred by Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), above the current rates authorized by the Commission, and reduced by revenues from penalties or other funds received.

Audit Procedures

- 1. Obtain documents and supports in order acquire understanding of Interruptible Load Management Program (ILMP) background and processes
 - A. Obtain and review relevant decisions, documents, and reports for 2001, 2002, and 2003 in support of accounting activities.
 - Commission Decisions related to ILPMA and its relevance to accounting of program costs and revenues
 - Annual Earnings Assessment Proceeding Report (AEAP) for 2002, 2003, and 2004 ILMP activities
 - ILMP plans, descriptions and budget information
 - Description of accounting and reporting system
 - Accounting procedures related to ILPMA costs and revenues
 - Flowcharts of Accounting process (e.g., Accounts Payable process, General Ledger record keeping) related to ILPMA
 - Organizational charts of the individuals involved with the ILMP including description of each responsibilities
 - Internal and external audit reports issued to PG&E relating to Interruptible Load Management programs and operations
 - Sample of Accounting reports (e.g., SAP records, Memorandum Account reports, Accounts Payable Summary Reports, etc.)
 - ILPMA costs and revenues for 2001, 2002, and 2003, summarized by month and year
 - Summary reports for 2001, 2002, and 2003 showing ILMP costs and revenues by program
 - Preliminary Statements and Tariff rates
 - Sample of signed agreements between PG&E and ILMP participants
 - Report showing all contracts signed by PG&E and names of participants for 2001, 2002, and 2003.
- 2. Test and evaluate PG&E's system of accounting and internal controls to ensure adequacy, effective compliance and timely recording of data

- A. Evaluate PG&E's internal control relating to:
 - Controls over information processing
 - Segregation of duties
 - Accurate and timely recording of transactions
- B. Determine if system of internal control was audited by PG&E's internal and/or external auditors
 - Obtain copies of audit reports relating to examination of internal controls
 - Obtain explanations to internal control issues raised by the auditors
 - Determine any corrective actions made to resolve internal control issues
 - Determine frequency of audit of financial records related to ILPMA and ILMP
- C. Obtain and review copies of policies relating to accounting of incentive payments or invoices
- D. Review flowchart of accounting systems involved in the process of payments, tracking of costs and recording of incentive payments or invoices
- E. Interview accounting personnel responsible for recording and payment of expenditures
 - Determine if proper regulatory compliance were met and if accounting integrity of costs and revenue transactions recorded in the memorandum account were maintained
 - Evaluate separation of duties to prevent improper use of funds
- F. Interview program managers responsible for program administration and oversight of ILMP expenditures
 - Review program controls, including incentive payment and invoice review, and approval processes, verification procedures, authorization and approval levels, and financial checks and balances
- G. Assure that the system of internal control is followed consistently from year to year
- 3. Review ILPMA entries to determine proper and complete recording of expenditures and revenues
 - A. Determine memorandum accounts established
 - Determine if memorandum accounts were established for each program. If not, determine how the programs were classified in the memorandum accounts.

- B. Determine actual expenditures incurred during the year using SAP reports, and summary of invoices paid annually
- C. Verify and review recorded entries for validity and accuracy
 - Explain any major adjustments made in the memorandum account and determine validity
- D. Trace entries from sources (invoices, etc) to the memorandum account
 - Follow audit trails
 - Determine unusual and extraordinary entries
 - Determine if entries are supported and documented
- 4. Obtain evidence of transactions and verify all expenditure reports to determine if all payments were made properly and accurately
 - A. Obtain documents in support of operational activities
 - Test accounting systems and controls for ILMP expenditures and identify weaknesses
 - B. Obtain reports and summaries of all ILMP expenditures for years 2001, 2002, and 2003
 - Determine how expenditures were summarized
 - Obtain expenditure reports from the PG&E
- 5. Inspect invoices and determine if they were paid and recorded on a timely basis, accurately and properly.
 - A. Apply sampling methods and select samples to review
 - Based on the population of paid incentives/invoices, apply sampling method to determine the size of samples to be reviewed
 - Depending on the size of the samples selected, either judgmental or unrestricted random sampling will be used as sampling technique.
 - Explain basis of sampling method used
 - Determine and support reasons for samples selected
 - Test samples for completeness, accuracy, and appropriateness
 - Describe and support results of sampling test
 - B. Obtain invoices based on the sampling method selected
 - Determine if invoices paid are for valid programs, properly identified as ordered by the Commission
 - Determine if invoices paid and being tested showed proper approval and calculated accurately

- Prove calculation verify correctness of information for taxes and discounts, etc.
- C. Trace invoices to payment records
 - Compare invoices with payments records and reconcile totals
- D. Trace payments to SAP accounting records
 - Obtain SAP reports showing invoices paid and total amounts paid by month for 2001, 2002, and 2003
 - Determine if reports and summaries are prepared periodically
 - Determine if ILMP expenditures were reconciled with SAP accounting reports and summaries
- E. Investigate for any duplicate invoices and payments
 - Verify invoice numbers, amounts paid, payee and description of work to ensure that no duplication of payments or charges was made.
- 6. Determine revenues received from penalties or from other funding
 - Obtain documents to support reported revenues
 - Review computation for accuracy and completeness